

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,900	09/15/2003	Cyril Cabral JR.	YOR920030218US1(16714)	1278
23389	7590 06/01/2006		EXAMI	NER
SCULLY SC	OTT MURPHY & PRE	PHAM, LONG		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	Y, NY 11530		2814	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,900	CABRAL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Long Pham	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eight phonty under 33 0.3.C.	3 113(a)-(d) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in a	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu		4 a to ca al	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)	A) The base is a second	Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) LI micrylew	Outilitially (LIOTIO)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,900

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 17 as currently amended and 2-16 as previously filed, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) of this application in combination with Maex et al. (US publication 2002/015170) and Van Kestersen et al. (US patent 5,952,094).

With respect to claims 1 and 17 as currently amended and 2-16 as previously filed, AAPA in combination with Maex et al. and Van Kestersen et al. teach the invention as claimed. See the rejections of the office actions dated 08/22/05 and 01/03/06.

Further with respect to claims 1 and 17 as currently amended, since AAPA in combination with Maex et al. and Van Kestersen et al. teach the claimed invention, an interface would inherently be formed between the disilicide and the SiGe-containing substrate and the interface would inherently be smoother than CoSi₂ interface without Ni presence.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/03/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See below.

In response to the applicant's arguments in the first full paragraph on page 7 of the amendment dated 03/03/6, it is submitted that the AAPA does not teach away from adding to Ni to Co layer.

In response to the applicant's arguments in the second full paragraph on page 7 of the amendment dated 03/03/6, it is submitted that the incorporation of Ni into Co layer as taught by Maex et al. would inherently reduce the formation temperature of CoSi₂.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,900

Art Unit: 2814

In response to the applicant's arguments in the paragraph bridging pages 7 and 8 and the first full paragraph on page 8 of the amendment dated 03/03/6, it is submitted that Maex et al. is being relied on only for the broad teaching of adding Ni into Co layer to accelerate the formation of disilicide phase. Further, it is submitted that a prior art reference is evaluated by what it suggests to one versed in the art, rather than by its specific disclosure. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). Still further, it is submitted that a reference is considered not only for what it expressly states, but for what it would reasonbly have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re DeLisle, 160 USPQ (CCPA 1969). In case, the effect of acceleration of disilicide phase would occur regardless of the material of the substrate.

In response to the applicant's arguments in the second full paragraph on page 8 of the amendment dated 03/03/6, it is submitted that Van Kesteren et al. is being relied on only for the broad teaching of adding RE to Co layer to reduce Curie temperature and magnetization. Further, it is submitted that a prior art reference is evaluated by what it suggests to one versed in the art, rather than by its specific disclosure. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). Still further, it is submitted that a reference is considered not only for what it expressly states, but for what it would reasonbly have suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re DeLisle, 160 USPQ (CCPA 1969). Still further, it is submitted that the fact that the applicants have a different reason or advantage resulting from doing what the relied prior art suggested doing is not indicative or demonstrative of unobviousness. In Re Kronig 190 USPQ 425,428 (CCPA 1976); In Re Lintner 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Frid, 10am to 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,900

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Long Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814